structural analysis of

G-Proteins

Isabel Ayora, Carla Fontanet, Carolina Pazos

Index

- 1. G-proteins
- 2. Heterotrimeric G-proteins
- 3. Monomeric G-proteins
- 4. Ras family
- 5. Ras interactions
- 6. Scop classification
- 7. Species alignment
- 8. Cancer: G12V K-Ras

G-Proteins

transmission of signals from outside the cell to the inside

G-Proteins Classification

Heterotrimeric vs Monomeric

Heterotrimeric G-Proteins

molecular weight: 86 kDa

3 subunits

Heterotrimeric G-Proteins

Heterotrimeric G-Proteins

Monomeric G-Proteins

molecular weight: 20 kDa

Superimposition between families

Sc = STAMP score, RMS = RMS deviation, Align = alignment length Len1, Len2 = length of domain, Nfit = residues fitted Secs = no. equivalent sec. strucs. Eq = no. equivalent residues $XI = seq.$ identity, $XS = sec.$ str. identity $P(m) = P$ value ($p=1/10$) calculated after Murzin (1993), JMB, 230, 689-694 (NC = P value not calculated - potential FP overflow) No. Domain1 Domain2 Sc **RMS** Len1 Len2 Align NFit Eq. Secs. %I %S P(m) 1 4ile $3q10$ 179 112 101 0 24.75 100.00 1.07e-05 Pair 3.91 2.08 170 207 Pair 2 4ile $2qf9$ 4.50 1.88 170 176 190 114 106 0 24.53 100.00 8.68e-06 Pair 3 4ile $2fv8$ 4.53 1.79 170 180 188 116 112 0 16.07 100.00 1.42e-02 Pair 4 4ile 4obe 4.65 2.12 170 169 181 115 103 0 20.39 100.00 7.22e-04 Pair 53010 $2₀f₉$ 5.27 1.33 207 176 185 139 138 0 28,99 100,00 2,95e-10 Pair $3qj0$ $2fv8$ 4.94 1.53 189 137 136 0 28.68 100.00 6.79e-10 207 180 Pair $3qj0$ 4obe 5.09 1.42 186 136 134 0 24.63 100.00 5.82e-07 207 169 2fv8 Pair 2gf9 6.98 1.21 176 180 190 151 149 0 29.53 100.00 2.08e-11 Pair 9 2gf9 4obe 8.00 1.36 176 169 173 159 156 0 31.41 100.00 1.27e-13 Pair 10 2fv8 4obe 6.75 1.43 180 169 186 146 143 0 32.87 100.00 6.08e-14 Reading in matrix file kras.mat... Doing cluster analysis... Cluster: 1 (2gf9 & 4obe) Sc 8.00 RMS 1.36 Len 173 nfit 159 See file kras.1 for the alignment and transformations 4obe) Sc 8.12 RMS 1.16 Len 192 nfit 149 Cluster: 2 (2fv8 & $2gf9$ See file kras.2 for the alignment and transformations Cluster: 3 (3gj0 & $2fv8$ $2gf9$ 4obe) Sc 6.67 RMS 1.41 Len 202 nfit 142 See file kras.3 for the alignment and transformations cluster: 4 (4ile & $3gj0$ 2fv8 2gf9 4obe) Sc 5.86 RMS 2.11 Len 204 nfit 127 See file kras.4 for the alignment and transformations

> Alignment score $Sc = 5.861220$ Alignment length Lp = 204 RMS deviation after fitting on 127 atoms = 2.111652 Secondary structures are from DSSP

Ras Family

Ras structure

- GTPase domain (residues 1–166)
	- Switch-I (residues 30-40)
	- Switch-II (residues 58-72)
	- P-loop (residues 10–14)
	- N-terminal
- C-terminal or the hypervariable region (residues 167–188)

structure and dynamics. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2019 Dec 26:18:189. 10.1016/j.csbj.2019.12.004. PMID: 31988705; PMCID: PMC6965201.

Ras cycle

GTP structure Activated Ras, GTP-bound

Nucleophilic attack

A water molecule acts as a nucleophile

The oxygen donates a pair of electrons to the γ-phosphate

Cleavage of the phosphodiester bond

GDP structure **Inactivated Ras**, GDP-bound

Ras interaction with GEF: overview

- The insertion into Ras of an alpha-helix from Sos results in the displacement of the Switch 1 region of Ras, opening up the nucleotide-binding site.

- Side chains presented by the alpha-helix and by a distorted conformation of the Switch 2 region of Ras alter the chemical environment of the binding site for the phosphate groups of the nucleotide and the associated magnesium ion, so that their binding is no longer favored.

So the Ras–Sos complex adopts a structure that allows nucleotide release and rebinding.

SCOP classification

6 beta-sheets surrounded by 5 alpha helices

Species alignment: K-ras

Cancer: G12C K-Ras

- KRAS is the most oncogenic RAS proteins.
- It is found predominantly in lung, pancreatic, and colorectal cancer.
- Gly12 interacts with Finger loop of GAP.

Oldham, W. M., & Hamm, H. E. (2008). Heterotrimeric G protein activation by G-protein-coupled receptors. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology*, *9*(1), 60–71. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2299>

Boriack-Sjodin, P. A., Margarit, S. M., Bar-Sagi, D., & Kuriyan, J. (1998). The structural basis of the activation of Ras by Sos. Nature, 394(6691), 337–343. <https://doi.org/10.1038/28548>

Kim, D., Xue, J. Y., & Lito, P. (2020). Targeting KRAS(G12C): From inhibitory mechanism to modulation of antitumor effects in patients. Cell, 183(4), 850–859. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.044>

Scheffzek, K., Ahmadian, M. R., Kabsch, W., Wiesmüller, L., Lautwein, A., Schmitz, F., & Wittinghofer, A. (1997). The Ras-RasGAP complex: Structural basis for GTPase activation and its loss in oncogenic Ras mutants. Science (New York, N.Y.), 277(5324), 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5324.333>

Shima, F., Ijiri, Y., Muraoka, S., Liao, J., Ye, M., Araki, M., Matsumoto, K., Yamamoto, N., Sugimoto, T., Yoshikawa, Y., Kumasaka, T., Yamamoto, M., Tamura, A., & Kataoka, T. (2010). Structural basis for conformational dynamics of GTP-bound Ras protein. The Journal of biological chemistry, 285(29), 22696–22705. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.125161>

Pantsar T. (2019). The current understanding of KRAS protein structure and dynamics. Computational and structural biotechnology journal, 18, 189–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2019.12.004>

Rudack, T., Xia, F., Schlitter, J., Kötting, C., & Gerwert, K. (2012). Ras and GTPase-activating protein (GAP) drive GTP into a precatalytic state as revealed by combining FTIR and biomolecular simulations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109(38), 15295–15300. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1204333109>

Monomeric G proteins

- a) structural and functional homology with the alpha subunit of the heterotrimeric g proteins
- b) only functional homology with the alpha subunit of the heterotrimeric g proteins
- c) only structural homology with the alpha subunit of the heterotrimeric g proteins
- d) structural and functional homology with the beta subunit of the heterotrimeric g proteins
- e) only structural homology with the alpha subunit of the heterotrimeric g proteins

The beta subunit of heterotrimeric G proteins has the structure of

- a) TIM barrel
- b) Rossmann fold
- c) beta propeller
- d) greek key
- e) Jelly roll

Monomeric G proteins are active when bound to

- a) ATP
- b) ADP
- c) GDP
- d) GTP
- e) the beta and gamma subunits

The binding interface of ras is formed by:

- a) The Hypervariable region
- b) The P-loop
- c) Switch I region
- d) Switch I and Switch II
- e) None of the above

Upon GTP binding, Ras proteins:

- a) Dissociates from the membrane
- b) Become unactivated
- c) Adopt a more open conformation
- d) Adopt a more closed conformation
- e) Translocate to the nucleus

Which of the following ions interacts with GTP and GDP?

- a) Ca
- b) Zn
- c) Na
- d) Mg
- e) Fe

Ras interacts with GTP and GDP mainly through:

- a) Van der Waals bonds
- b) Ionic bonds
- c) Covalent bonds
- f) Metallic bonds
- g) Hidrogen bonds

What regions of Ras are involved in the interaction with its GTPase-activating protein (GAP)?

- a) Switch I.
- b) Loop L1.
- c) Switch II.
- d) Helices alpha-6 and alpha-7.
- e) All of the above.

What is the role of Arg789 in loop L1 in the interaction between Ras and its GAP?

- a) Stabilizes switch II.
- b) Forms a hydrogen bond with Asn942.
- c) Acts as the arginine finger.
- d) Interacts with Tyr-64.
- e) Stabilizes loop L6.

What structural changes in the Ras-Sos interface are primarily responsible for preventing the coordination of magnesium and phosphate in the nucleotide-binding site?

- a) Rearrangement of the Switch I region.
- b) Formation of the alpha 1-alpha 2 pocket in the N-domain.
- c) Interaction between Leu 938 and Glu 942.
- d) Compression of the backbone in Switch II.
- e) Protrusion of a helical hairpin from the catalytic domain.